

STATE OF MAINE

v.

MITCHELL L. MADDOCKS

STATE OF MAINE

v.

GREGORY P. ERSKINE

Argued October 4, 2010
Decided July 19, 2011

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and ALEXANDER, LEVY, SILVER, MEAD,
GORMAN, and JABAR, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

In this consolidated appeal, Mitchell L. Maddocks and Gregory P. Erskine each appeal from a judgment of conviction for unlawful trafficking in scheduled drugs (Class C), 17-A M.R.S. § 1103(1-A)(E) (2010), entered on their conditional guilty pleas in the Superior Court (Oxford County, *Marden, J.*). Contrary to Maddocks's and Erskine's contentions, we discern no clear error in the factual findings of the suppression court (*Clifford, J.*), nor any error of law in the suppression court's ultimate decision to deny their motions to exclude from trial the evidence obtained in a search of their residences. *See State v. Nadeau*, 2010 ME 71, ¶ 15, 1 A.3d 445, 453.

Counsel have called to our attention the matter of *Davis v. United States*, No. 09-11328, 2011 U.S. LEXIS 4560 (June 16, 2011), a recent decision concerning the application of the exclusionary rule. Our conclusions in the instant case are not inconsistent with the United States Supreme Court's opinion in *Davis*.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

Attorney for Mitchell L. Maddocks:

John S. Jenness, Jr., Esq.
23 Market Square
South Paris, Maine 04281

Attorney for Gregory P. Erskine:

Christopher S. Berryment, Esq.
225 River Road, Lot No. 3
Mexico, Maine 04257

Attorneys for the State of Maine:

Janet T. Mills, Attorney General
William R. Savage, Asst. Atty. Gen. (orally)
Office of the Attorney General
6 State House Station
Augusta, Maine 04333-0006