

JAMES H. COPP

v.

BETH E. COPP

Submitted on Briefs April 19, 2022

Decided April 26, 2022

Panel: STANFILL, C.J., and MEAD, HUMPHREY, HORTON, and CONNORS, JJ.

## MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

James H. Copp appeals from a judgment of the District Court (Portland, *Darvin, J.*) ordering his divorce from Beth E. Copp, dividing their property, and ordering James to pay spousal support. Contrary to James's contentions, there is sufficient evidence in the record to support the District Court's determination of James's income earning potential.<sup>1</sup> See *Sulikowski v. Sulikowski*, 2019 ME 143, ¶¶ 9, 12, 14, 216 A.3d 893; *Cashman v. Robertson*, 2019 ME 5, ¶ 13, 199 A.3d 1169; *Payne v. Payne*, 2006 ME 73, ¶ 7, 899 A.2d 793.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

---

<sup>1</sup> It is unclear whether James also argues that the total amount of spousal support awarded by the District Court was an abuse of discretion. Because it was not properly developed, this issue is waived. *Mehlhorn v. Derby*, 2006 ME 110, ¶ 11, 905 A.2d 290. Even if James had properly raised this issue, however, we would conclude that the trial court did not abuse its discretion in making the award of spousal support. See *Haskell v. Haskell*, 2017 ME 91, ¶ 18, 160 A.3d 1176.

Allison Muir Kuhns, Esq., Port City Legal, LLC, Portland, for appellant James H. Copp

Beth E. Copp did not file a brief

Portland District Court docket number FM-2020-508  
FOR CLERK REFERENCE ONLY