## IN RE CHILD OF MARK S.

Submitted on Briefs May 25, 2022 Decided June 2, 2022

Panel: STANFILL, C.J., and JABAR, HORTON, CONNORS, and LAWRENCE JJ.

## MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Mark S. appeals from a judgment of the District Court (York, *Sutton, J.*) terminating his parental rights to his child.¹ We discern no error in the court's termination of the father's parental rights because there is sufficient evidence in the record to support the court's parental unfitness and best interest findings, and the court did not abuse its discretion in determining that termination of the father's parental rights was in the child's best interest. *See, e.g., In re Child of Raul R.*, 2019 ME 94, ¶¶ 3-4, 7-8, 209 A.3d 757; *In re Braxton M.*, 2017 ME 197, ¶¶ 3, 5-8, 170 A.3d 810; *In re Child of Sherri Y.*, 2019 ME 162, ¶¶ 7-8, 221 A.3d 120; 22 M.R.S. § 4055(1)(B)(2)(a), (b)(i), (ii), (iv) (2022).

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  The father's counsel filed an appellate brief, containing the case's facts, a procedural history, and a statement that he did not believe that there were arguable issues of merit on appeal, and moved for an enlargement of time to allow the father to file a supplemental brief. See In re M.C., 2014 ME 128,  $\P\P$  7-8, 104 A.3d 139. We authorized the father to submit a supplemental brief, but no supplemental brief was filed.

Jason A. MacLean, Esq., Bridgton, for appellant father

With leave of the Court, the Department of Health and Human Services did not file a brief

York District Court docket number PC-2019-11 For Clerk Reference Only