

STATE OF MAINE

v.

DANIEL D. CRAM

Argued January 11, 2022
Decided January 20, 2022

Panel: STANFILL, C.J., and MEAD, GORMAN, JABAR, HUMPHREY, HORTON, and
CONNORS, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Daniel D. Cram appeals from a judgment of conviction entered in the trial court (Somerset County, *Mullen, J.*) upon his conditional guilty plea to operating under the influence (Class D), 29-A M.R.S. § 2411(1-A)(B)(1) (2021), following the denial of his motion to suppress evidence resulting from the stop of his vehicle. Contrary to Cram's contention, the suppression court did not err in concluding that the police officer had an objectively reasonable articulable safety concern that justified the stop. See *State v. Sasso*, 2016 ME 95, ¶ 7, 143 A.3d 124; *State v. Gulick*, 2000 ME 170, ¶¶ 13-14, 759 A.2d 1085; *State v. Sampson*, 669 A.2d 1326, 1327-1328 (Me. 1996).

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

Jeremy Pratt, Esq. (orally), and Ellen Simmons, Esq., Camden, for appellant
Daniel D. Cram

Maeghan Maloney, District Attorney, and Christopher Coleman, Asst. Dist. Atty.
(orally), Prosecutorial District IV, Skowhegan, for appellee State of Maine

Somerset County Unified Criminal Docket docket number CR-2020-217
FOR CLERK REFERENCE ONLY