

MELISSA MEISTER

v.

GUY MEISTER

Submitted on Briefs October 19, 2022
Decided October 27, 2022

Panel: STANFILL, C.J., and MEAD, JABAR, HORTON, CONNORS, and
LAWRENCE, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Guy Meister appeals from a divorce judgment entered by the District Court (West Bath, *Raimondi, J.*). Contrary to his contention, on this record the court did not abuse its discretion in awarding Melissa Meister general spousal support after considering the factors required by 19-A M.R.S. § 951-A(5) (2022).¹ See *Durkin v. Durkin*, 2019 ME 32, ¶ 10, 203 A.3d 812; *Violette v.*

¹ Although the court did not specify the type of spousal support it awarded as required by 19-A M.R.S. § 951-A(1)(A) (2022), it is evident from the court's emphasis on the length of the marriage, the income disparity between the parties, and the award's duration that general support was awarded. See 19-A M.R.S. § 951-A(2)(A) (2022); *Pillsbury v. Pillsbury*, 2007 ME 46, ¶¶ 7-9, 918 A.2d 1210 (declining to vacate a divorce judgment on the ground that it did not explicitly state the type of spousal support awarded because on whole the judgment "indicate[d] that the court was awarding general spousal support"; judgment vacated on other grounds).

Violette, 2015 ME 97, ¶ 15, 120 A.3d 667; *Jandreau v. LaChance*, 2015 ME 66, ¶ 16, 116 A.3d 1273.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

Jennifer A. Davis, Esq., Law Office of Jennifer A. Davis, Topsham, for appellant
Guy Meister

Richard W. Elliott, II, Esq., Elliott and Elliott, Boothbay Harbor, for appellee
Melissa Meister

West Bath District Court docket number FM-2020-238
FOR CLERK REFERENCE ONLY