

MARTINA M. SULLIVAN

v.

NATHANIEL WARREN-WHITE et al.

Submitted on Briefs February 19, 2025

Decided February 27, 2025

Panel: MEAD, HORTON, CONNORS, LAWRENCE, and DOUGLAS, JJ.

## MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Martina M. Sullivan appeals from a judgment of the District Court (West Bath, *Gorham J.*) denying her request for a protection from harassment order against Nathaniel and Elizabeth Warren-White. *See* 5 M.R.S. § 4655(1) (2024). The court's finding that there were no credible acts of harassment proved within the meaning of 5 M.R.S. § 4651(2)(A) (2024) is supported by competent evidence in the record. *See Allen v. Rae*, 2019 ME 53, ¶¶ 7-9, 206 A.3d 902.

Additionally, because the Warren-Whites did not bring a cross-appeal to raise the issue of whether the court erred in denying their request for a Spickler order, we will not disturb the judgment ultimately entered by the District Court. *See Spickler v. Key Bank of S. Me.*, 618 A.2d 204, 207 (Me. 1992); M.R. App. P. 2C(a)(1); *Lyle v. Mangar*, 2011 ME 129, ¶ 22, 36 A.3d 867.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

---

Martina M. Sullivan, appellant pro se

Christopher P. Leddy, Esq., Ainsworth, Thelin & Raftice, P.A., South Portland, for  
appellees Nathaniel and Elizabeth Warren-White

West Bath District Court docket number PA-2024-28  
FOR CLERK REFERENCE ONLY