

IN RE AUSTIN T.

Submitted on briefs July 26, 2000
Decided July 27, 2000

Panel: WATHEN, C.J., and CLIFFORD, RUDMAN, DANA, SAUFLEY,
and ALEXANDER, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

The mother appeals from the judgment entered in the District Court (Portland, *Beaudoin J.*) terminating her parental rights in her son Austin T. On appeal the mother challenges the sufficiency of the evidence underlying the court's determination that she was unwilling or unable to protect Austin from jeopardy, that she was unwilling or unable to take responsibility for Austin in a time reasonably calculated to meet his needs, that she has failed to make a good faith effort to rehabilitate and reunify with Austin, and that the termination of her parental rights was in Austin's best interests. Contrary to her contentions, we conclude that the trial court reasonably could have been persuaded that its factual findings were highly probable. *See, e.g., In re Marcus D.*, 583 A.2d 701 (Me. 1990).

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

Attorney for appellant:

Joel Vincent, Esq.
Vincent & Kantz
P O Box 6630
Portland, ME 04101-6630

Attorneys for appellee:

Andrew Ketterer, Attorney General
Michael C. Kearney, Asst. Attorney General
6 State House Station
Augusta, ME 04333-0006

Guardian ad Litem:

Kevin Heffernan, Esq.
555 Forest Avenue, suite 200
Portland, ME 04101