

STATE OF MAINE

v.

ARTHUR N. LAWRENCE

Submitted on Briefs June 15, 2000  
Decided June 20, 2000

Panel: WATHEN, C.J., and CLIFFORD, RUDMAN, DANA, SAUFLEY, and  
ALEXANDER, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Arthur N. Lawrence appeals from a judgment entered in the Superior Court (Hancock County, *Pierson*, *J.*) affirming the judgment of the District Court (Bar Harbor, *Staples*, *J.*) that Lawrence violated 7 M.R.S.A. § 3911 (Supp. 1999), by allowing his dog to be at large. Contrary to the Lawrence's contentions, (1) section 3911 is not unconstitutionally vague in violation of substantive due process, *see* U.S. CONST. amend. XIV; ME. CONST. art. I, § 6-A; *Bushey v. Town of China*, 645 A.2d 615, 618 (Me. 1994); and, (2) section 3911 is not required, as a matter of law, to include an element of intent. *See State v. Seamen's Club*, 1997 ME 70, ¶ 11, 691 A.2d 1248, 1252.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

---

Attorneys for the State:

Michael Povich, DA  
Charles H. Helfrich, ADA  
Hancock County Courthouse  
P.O. Box 722  
Ellsworth, Maine 04605

For the Defendant:

Arthur Lawrence  
P.O. Box 831  
Northeast Harbor, Maine 04662