

IN RE MYKEL R. et al.

Submitted on Briefs December 4, 2001
Decided December 7, 2001

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and CLIFFORD, RUDMAN, DANA, ALEXANDER,
and CALKINS, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

The mother appeals from the judgment entered in District Court (Skowhegan, *Clapp J.*) terminating her parental rights in two of her sons, Mykel R. and James R. Contrary to the mother's contentions it was not error for the court to take judicial notice of findings in proceedings in a separately docketed case in which the mother was also a defendant. *In re Scott S.*, 2001 ME 114, ¶ 16 & n.11, 775 A.2d 1144. There was clear and convincing evidence to support the court's determination that she was unwilling or unable to protect her sons from jeopardy and that the termination of her parental rights was in the children's best interests. *See* 22 M.R.S.A. § 4055(1)(B)(2) (1992).

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

Attorney for appellant:

Karen JM Mitchell, Esq.
P O Box 97
Norridgewock, ME 04957

Attorneys for defendant:

G. Steven Rowe, Attorney General
Matthew Pollack, Asst. Attorney General
Pat Stevens, Asst. Attorney General
6 State House Station
Augusta, ME 04333-0006

Guardian *ad Litem*:

Dale Thistle, Esq.
P O Box 160
Newport, ME 04953

Attorney for father:

Rebecca Cayford, Esq.
P O Box 827
Skowhegan, ME 04976