

ARTHUR A. GEROW

v.

HAZEL M. GEROW

Submitted on Briefs June 28, 2001
Decided July 18, 2001

Panel: WATHEN, C.J., and CLIFFORD, RUDMAN, DANA, SAUFLEY,
ALEXANDER, and CALKINS, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Arthur A. Gerow appeals from the judgment of divorce entered by the District Court (Houlton, *Griffiths, J.*) granting him a divorce from Hazel Gerow, dividing the parties' property, and awarding Hazel spousal support, attorney fees, and costs. Contrary to Arthur's contentions, the court did not exceed the bounds of its discretion in its division of the parties' property, 19-A M.R.S.A. § 953(1) (1998), in its award of spousal support, 19-A M.R.S.A. § 951-A(2)(A) (Supp. 2000), or in its order regarding the payment of certain debts of the parties, *see Sorey v. Sorey*, 1998 ME 217, ¶ 14, 718 A.2d 568, 571 (stating that the divorce court's decision will not be overturned if rational or credible evidence supports its conclusion). Nor was the court's determination that Arthur's entire pension was marital property clearly erroneous. *See Findlen v. Findlen*, 1997 ME 130, ¶ 6, 695

A.2d 1216, 1218 (stating that the party claiming property is nonmarital bears the burden of proof on that issue). Finally, Hazel agrees that the award of the 1958 Chevrolet convertible to her was a clerical error.¹ Accordingly, we modify the judgment to award the Chevrolet to Arthur.

The entry is:

Judgment modified to award the 1958
Chevrolet convertible to Arthur and, as
modified, affirmed.

Attorney for plaintiff:

Patricia R. Locke, Esq.
66 A Main Street
Lincoln, ME 04457

Attorney for defendant:

Richard L. Currier, Esq.
Currier & Trask, P.A.
505 Main Street
Presque Isle, ME 04769

1. Although the 1958 Chevrolet convertible is not specifically mentioned in the divorce judgment, the court's findings of fact and conclusions of law awards the Chevrolet to Hazel in error.