STATE OF MAINE

V.

MICHAEL R. CHAPMAN

Submitted on Briefs December 20, 2001 Decided January 16, 2002

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and CLIFFORD, RUDMAN, DANA, ALEXANDER, and CALKINS, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Michael Chapman appeals from a judgment of conviction entered in the Superior Court (Franklin County, *Marden, J.*) upon a jury verdict finding him guilty of gross sexual assault, Class A, *see* 17-A M.R.S.A. § 253(1)(A) (Supp. 2001), and assault, Class D, *see* 17-A M.R.S.A. § 207 (1983 & Supp. 2001). Contrary to Chapman's contentions, (1) the court did not abuse its discretion in denying his motion for a new trial on grounds of newly discovered evidence, *see State v. Sheldon*, 2000 ME 193, ¶ 7, 760 A.2d 1083, 1084-85; *State v. Ardolino*, 1999 ME 14, ¶ 8, 723 A.2d 870, 873; (2) any impropriety that may have been contained in a brief comment by the prosecutor was cured by the court's subsequent curative instruction, *see State v. Lyons*, 1998 ME 225, ¶ 5, 718 A.2d 1102, 1105; (3) the court

committed no obvious error in failing to instruct on the defense of consent, see 17-A M.R.S.A. § 109 (1983); State v. Begin, 652 A.2d 102, 106 (Me. 1995); and (4) sufficient evidence exists in the record to support the jury's findings beyond a reasonable doubt, see State v. Hayes, 675 A.2d 106, 109 (Me. 1996). We do not consider Chapman's contention that he was improperly sentenced in light of our previous denial of Chapman's sentence review application. See State v. Frechette, 687 A.2d 628, 629 (Me. 1996).

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

Attorneys for State:

Norman R. Croteau, District Attorney James A. Andrews, Asst. Dist. Atty. Andrew S. Robinson, Asst. Dist. Atty. 140 Main Street Farmingotn, ME 04938

Attorney for defendant:

Barbara R. Chassie, Esq. Law Offices of Charles T. Ferris P O Box 191 Kingfield, ME 04947