

TAMMY STEVENS

v.

SEAN STEVENS

Submitted on Briefs June 27, 2002
Decided July 2, 2002

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and CLIFFORD, RUDMAN, DANA, ALEXANDER,
CALKINS, and LEVY, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Sean Stevens appeals the judgment of the District Court (Bridgton, *Goranites, J.*) granting a protection from abuse order, *see* 19-A M.R.S.A. § 4007 (1998 & Supp. 2001), barring Stevens from any contact with his children “until further order of the court.” Stevens argues that: (1) his former wife “abused the system”; (2) the order should not have issued just because he failed to appear at the hearing; and (3) he should have continuing access to his children.

There is no transcript from the hearing, and neither Stevens’s arguments nor the limited record indicates any error by the District Court. *See Tenney v. Benson*, 1999 ME 177, ¶ 1, 741 A.2d 454, 455. The record does demonstrate that Stevens was served with notice of the hearing two weeks prior to the hearing and

that the hearing was held on the scheduled date.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

For plaintiff:

Tammy Stevens
P O Box 492
Bridgton, ME 04009

For defendant:

Sean Stevens
31 Hampshire St.
Hiram, ME 04041